

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington D C 20231
www.uspto.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	10/30/2000	Kent D. Chapman	4380.000400	2238
09/702,374		·		
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.			EXAMINER	
10333 RICHM HOUSTON, T	OND, SUITE 1100	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
110031011, 171			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	. (/
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003	' 10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/702,374

Applicant(s)

Chapman et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Art Unit **Alton Pryor**

1616

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cove	r sheet with	n the correspondence address		
	for Reply					
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	3	MONTH(S) FROM		
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	no event, howe	ver, may a reply	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the platent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SI ne application to	X (6) MONTHS become ABAN	from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 6, 20	03				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-f	inal.			
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-17, 20-50, and 59-82			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 2, 6, 9-17, 20-24, 26-50, 60-63, 67-69), 71, and	73-82	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 3, 4, 7, 8, 25, 64-66, 70, and 72			is/are rejected.		
7) 💢	Claim(s) 5 and 59			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims					
	ition Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			·		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on		_ is: a)□	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures	au (PCT Ru	ile 17.2(a))	J.		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the		•			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachm		priority div	uei 55 0.5	7.C. 33 120 dilu/01 121.		
_	ptice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	w Summary (P	TO-413) Paper No(s)		
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 3,4,7,8,25,65, 66,72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 3,4,7,8,25,65 recites the limitation "NAE11:0, NAE13:0, NAE15:0, NAE:17:0, NAE19:0" in line 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. The term "NAE" in claim 64-66 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "NAE" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim 25,72 contains the trademark/trade name PETALIFE, OASIS, etc. . Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe anti-senescent and, accordingly, the identification/description is

Application/Control Number: 09702374

Art Unit:

indefinite.

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b)

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 64-66,70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smrt et al (CS 195040; 1/31/80). Smrt teaches a composition comprising (a) palmitoylethanolamine, (b) lecithin and (c) water. See abstract.

Claim Objection / Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5,59 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach or suggest the instant invention comprising N-lauroylethanolamine or N-myristoylethanolamine. Claims 1,2,6,9-17,20-24,26-50,60-63,67-69,71,73-82 are allowable. The prior art does not teach or suggest the instant invention comprising an auxin, a gibberellin or cytokinin.

Art Unit:

Other Matters

Election Requirement dated 10/30/02 will not be maintained in light of Applicant's response filed 2/6/03

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton Pryor whose telephone number is (703) 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Alton Pryor PRIMARY EXAMINE

Primary Examiner, AU 1616

3/29/03